

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/761,238	01/22/2004	Yuji Isoda	Q79376	2024
	7590 05/18/2004 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS			EXAMINER	
				GABOR, OTILIA	
	2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2878	
				DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/761,238	ISODA, YUJI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Otilia Gabor	2878					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 J	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 January 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/870,679. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)					
 Notice of References Cited (F10-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/22/04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D						

Application/Control Number: 10/761,238 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: the range of the voltage claimed is ambiguous (2.0 kV to 4.0 25 kV). For purposes of examination the Examiner will consider the range to be of 2.0 kV to 4.0 kV. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 09/870,679. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2878

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arakawa et al. (U. S. Patent 6031236) and further in view of Tsuchino et al. (U. S. Patent 5055681).

Arakawa et al. discloses an apparatus 10 and method to prepare a stimulable phosphor sheet comprising a support positioned in the deposition system 11 and an evaporation source 11a by which the phosphor layer is evaporated in the vacuum chamber 12 of the deposition apparatus 10. The powdery (solid) stimulable phosphor is introduced into the evaporation source 11a while the substrate or support on which the phosphor is to be deposited is positioned in the deposition system 11. Then the exhaust system 13 is driven to set the vapor pressure within the vacuum container 12 to a desired level to perform the deposition of the stimulable phosphor onto the substrate. The deposition is carried out by heating the substrate to a predetermined temperature. The support could be Quartz, alumina, silicon carbide or zirconia. Optionally a transparent glass protective film (layer) is also deposited on the substrate to form an airtight seal over the substrate frame. The phosphor to be vaporized can be selected from the group including a stimulable alkali metal halide phosphor with the formula as claimed (see Cols.5-7). The phosphor sheet thus prepared using the vacuum evaporation method has a relative density more than 70% and preferably up to 93%. Also the support is connected to a heating device whereby the temperature of the support can be changed.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,238

Art Unit: 2878

Arakawa et al. fails to specifically use an electron beam heating method for the process of evaporation, however as disclosed by Tsuchino et al. the electron beam vacuum evaporation of a phosphor onto the support which, can be heated or cooled before, during or after the vaporization, is a well known and used evaporation method and thus using this heating method constitutes only a matter of design choice.

The phosphor to be evaporated can employ different forms and raw phosphor materials.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Otilia Gabor whose telephone number is 571-272-2435.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/761,238

Art Unit: 2878

Og Otilia Gabor AU 2878

Olil Cale